

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR · CRI-101 10/718,255 11/20/2003 Roberto Capodieci 8148 **EXAMINER** 42419 7590 11/03/2006 PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON GOODMAN, CHARLES 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 365** HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195 3724

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			NIT
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,255	CAPODIECI, ROBE	ERTO
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Goodman	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this cor (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-27 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	4		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contraction of the contra	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on 8/14/2006 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 22-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group and Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1/19/2006. Note the last Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12-14 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Capodieci '336 (US 6,143,336).

Capodieci '336 discloses an apparatus for forming cereal food products comprising all the elements claimed including, inter alia, an ultrasonic horn (e.g. 194); a reciprocating cutting tool (e.g. 198); a plurality of longitudinally oriented first cutting blades (e.g. 408); and a plurality of longitudinally oriented second cutting blades (e.g.

the other 408) positioned and connected between with adjacent first cutting blades. Fig. 7.

Regarding claims 5-6 and similar, it appears that Capodieci '336 includes the cutting depths and position within the ranges as claimed.

Regarding claim 8 and similar, it appears that Capodieci '336 have the angle orientation range as claimed, note the products (e.g. 125, 325, 425) and the beveled edges thereof.

Regarding claim 9 and similar, the example shown in Fig. 7 of Capodieci '336 reads on the open first end to the extent that any of the L-shaped portions (e.g. defined by 408, 396 or 408 and the wall to the left of reference 406 in Fig. 7) has an open end (e.g. toward the vertical 408 in Fig. 7) that abuts, e.g. the vertical oriented blade segment (408 - Fig. 7) which in turn defines a closed end of the adjacent blade element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capodieci '336 (US 6,143,336).

Capodieci '336 discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the polished carbide coating. However, it is the Examiner's position that provision of said a

coating is an obvious design choice to the ordinary artisan to the extent that carbide coatings on cutting tools are known for their hardness which provides extended tool life. Thus, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the device of Capodieci '336 with the coating for the reasons stated supra.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8/14/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's basic argument that Capodieci '336 does not teach or suggest the claimed invention, this argument is traversed. The edges reading on the claimed subject matter are deemed to be "sharpened" due to the extreme acute angle of the identified edges. Applicant's assertion with respect to the leading edges in Capodieci '336 is noted. However, such consideration is not warranted since the claims do not require consideration thereof.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3724

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley, can be reached on (571) 272-4502. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

> Charles Goodman **Primary Examiner AU 3724**

October 30, 2006

CHARLES GOODMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER